

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/595,712	HOSHINO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Thomas E. Lazo	3745

All Participants:

Status of Application: *pending*

(1) Thomas E. Lazo (USPTO).

(3) ____.

(2) David E. Spaw (34,732).

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 7 March 2008

Time: 0900

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

16

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

An agreement was reached to more clearly claim the invention

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Thomas E. Lazo/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3745

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)